

## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <a href="http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content">http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content</a>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Religious Conceptions Underlying Sumerian Proper Names. — By George A. Barton, Bryn Mawr College.

In cataloguing the Proper Names in the Haverford Library Collection I was impressed with the amount of Sumerian theology which they expressed. Almost all the religious ideas which underly Semitic proper names can be paralleled in Sumerian.

The following study is based on the names in the Haverford Collection. These tablets, though but four hundred in number, contain so many pay rolls that they are particularly rich in proper names. Nearly 3300 individuals are mentioned in them, while in all the documents from which Huber collected the names for his Personennamen ... der Zeit der Könige von Ur und Nisin there are only about 5100 names. documents here drawn upon do not, therefore, afford a meager basis for induction. Of course a great many of the names found in the Haverford tablets occur in other documents also. and are found in Huber's list. Before considering the religious ideas of particular names it should be noted that some of the most peculiar and striking of these ideas have not yet been found in names of earlier periods. One searches the name-lists from the reigns of Urkagina and Lugalanda in vain for some of them; though, of course, this is not true of those which express the simpler and more common ideas.

The first fact that impresses one in the study of these names is the popularity of certain deities. For example, the name of the goddess Bau enters as a component part into the names of at least 147 individuals mentioned in these texts; that of Utu, the sun-god, into the names of 64 persons; that of Kal, into 58; that of Galgir into 50; and that of Ningirsu, into 54. This does not show any tendency to monotheism among the Sumerians any more than the popularity of Marduk

and Nabu, as shown in the Proper Names of the Neo-Babylonian empire, implies a tendency to monotheism then.

Among the Sumerians the most common way of showing devotion by means of a proper name was to call the boy or girl a "servant" of some deity. Thus 85 different men mentioned in this collection bore the name Ur-dBau. Ur1 not only means "servant", but the "consecrated servant" or gadesh: hence the name expressed deep devotion. We find not only Ur-dBau, but Ur-dNingirsu, Ur-dEnlil, Ur-dNina, Ur-dDumuzi, etc. All the gods were remembered in this way. Another form of name almost as popular was to call a boy the gàl or gàlu,2 i. e., the "man" of such and such a god. Thus we have Gàl-dBau as the name of 28 individuals in these texts, Gàl-dNingirsu, as the name of 31, Gàl-dNarua, as the name of 22, and the other deities are similarly honored. Girls were in like manner said to be the servants of different deities by calling them the gim or gime3 of some deity. Thus we have Gim-dKal, Gim-dNinâ, etc. Thirty-two different deities are in these texts honored in this way.

Sometimes, apparently, it was the intention of the parent to place the child under the protection of any or every deity. In that case the infant was called *Ur-dingirra*, *Gàl-dingirra*, or *Gim-dingirra*, "servant of god", "man of god", or "maidservant of god". It is, of course, possible that in these cases some particular deity was intended, and that the names are hypocoristica.

Naturally there are also many names which ascribe attributes of various kinds to the gods. The following are a few examples: Nin-an-dBa-u,4 "Lady of heaven is Bau", sometimes turned about as dBa-u-nin-a-an, "Bau is lady of heaven". dUtu-mê-ne, "Utu is he", is the statement of another name. Others are: dUtu-en-dug, "Utu is good lord"; dBa-u-azag-ga,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This is the Sumerian equivalent of Semitic names beginning with Arad, such as Arad-"Bêl, Arad-"Nusku, etc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> These are equivalent to Semitic names beginning with amilu, such as Amil-il Marduk.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> These are equivalent to Semitic names beginning with Amtu, such as  $Amat \cdot {}^{i}Belit$ .

<sup>4</sup> References are not given for each of these names. They can be found by consulting the name list in Part III of the Haverford Library Collection of Cunciform Tablets.

"Bau is brilliant"; Ba-u-na-e, "Bau is greatly exalted"; <sup>a</sup>Ba-u-bar-giš, "Bau is a great lady"; Sag-<sup>a</sup>Ba-u-gâl, "Bau is chief", sometimes shortened to Sag-<sup>a</sup>Ba-u. Then we have <sup>a</sup>Utu-pad-da, "Utu is bright"; <sup>a</sup>Nannar-maš-ib, "Nannar is a mighty prince"; <sup>a</sup>Utu-gir-gal, "Utu is great strength"; <sup>a</sup>Utu-gâl-ka, "Utu is for protection"; <sup>a</sup>Utu-ušum-gal, "Utu is the great one"; <sup>a</sup>Utu-bar-ra, "Utu is lord"; and Utu-si-di, "Utu is upright" (HLC, II, 68, 33, i, 12). Sometimes a name asserts something of a god: thus <sup>a</sup>Ba-u-da-mê-a means "To Bau there is no father"; or, since a may mean "son" also, it may mean "Bau has no son".

Another series of names explains the attitude of the gods toward worshippers. Thus dUtu-ûr-ra means "Utu is a protector"; dBa-u-qi-mu, "Bau is my faithful one" or "my guide"; Sag-dBa-u-kin, "The head of Bau turns", apparently toward the worshipper; "Utu-sag-ga, "Utu is favorable"; "Nin-gir-suni-šaq, "Ningirsu is gracious". Then we have dBa-u-ni-tum, "Bau protects"; dBa-u-he-qúl, "Bau is a rich blessing"; dUtukalam-e, "Utu is for all"; dUtu-zi-mu, "Utu is my life"; dBau-zi-mu, "Bau is my life"; dKal-zi-mu, "Kal is my life"; dUtuki-ram-mê, "Utu is the one who loves us"; dKal-e-ba-zi, "Kal makes him live"; dŠu-ba-ni, "Šu created him"; dÛr-zib-apin, "The foundation-god places the foundation"; <sup>d</sup>Ba-u-eqir, "Bau is behind"; dEn-zu-egir-šu, "Enzu is behind the hand"; dNannarmaš-egir, "Nannar is behind the prince"; dKal-ama-mu, "Kal is my mother" or "my love"; dNin-marki-a-iqi-dù, "Ninmar lifts up the eyes"; <sup>a</sup>Nin-mar<sup>ki</sup>-mah-kal-la, "Ninmar exalts the humble man"; dBa-u-lugal-gi-gi, "Bau is faithful queen", or "queen of the faithful"; dBa-u-tur-gid, "Bau makes the short tall"; Ka-<sup>d</sup>Ba-u-dù, "The word of Bau exalts".

Several names are formed on the analogy of the Biblical Micah (מִּיכָּוְה, "who is like Yahu?") and Michael (מִיכָּוְה, "who is like God?"). Thus we have  $A\text{-}ba\text{-}^{d}Nin\text{-}gir\text{-}su\text{-}kim$ , "Who is like Ningirsu?" and  $A\text{-}ba\text{-}^{d}Dun\text{-}gi\text{-}kim$ , "Who is like the god Dungi?" The question is sometimes shortened by leaving the kim = "like" to be understood, as in  $A\text{-}ba\text{-}^{d}En\text{-}ki$ , "Who is like Enki?" and  $A\text{-}ba\text{-}^{d}Nin\text{-}gir\text{-}su$ .

Sometimes the name is a prayer, as <sup>d</sup>Utu-ha-rug, "May Utu increase!"; <sup>d</sup>Ininni-ha-zal, "May Ininni be great!" Gu (or)

<sup>1</sup> Erroneously read in my name-list dUtu-sik-ki.

Ka-<sup>d</sup>Ba-u-ma, "Speak, O Bau, the name"; <sup>d</sup>Ininni-zi-zi, "O Ininni, give life!" <sup>1</sup> Sometimes the name alludes to the attitude of a god to the general welfare, as <sup>d</sup>Utu-uru-na, "Utu exalts the city"; <sup>d</sup>Kal-uru-na, "Kal exalts the city". The name <sup>d</sup>Ba-u-uru is probably of the same meaning, only the na has been omitted. In the same class belongs the name <sup>d</sup>Sig-kam-pa-te-si, "The wool-god the Patesi cultivates".

Sometimes a name expresses the intercession of one god with another. Thus <sup>d</sup>Nin-gir-su-zid-da-šagišše-<sup>d</sup>Nina-ta means "Ningirsu brings the blessing from Nina".

Sometimes it expresses the aid which one god gives another; this is the case in the name  $Ur^{-d}Kal$ -ma-du- $^dNa$ -ru-u, in which the du might also be read gin or gub, and which means "Servant of Kal, who brings (or establishes) Narua".

Another series of names indicates a tendency to fuse deities together. Thus we have  $Ur^{-d}Utu$ - $nigin^{-d}Nin$ -gir-su, "Servant of Utu (who is) the totality of Ningirsu";  $Ur^{-d}Ba$ - $u^{-d}Šur$ - $m\hat{e}$ , "Servant of Bau (who is) the god Shur";  $Ur^{-d}I\tilde{s}^{-d}Ba$ -u, "Servant of Ish (who is) Bau";  $G\hat{a}l^{-d}Ur^{-d}A\tilde{s}aru$ , Man of Ur (who is) Asharu". It must be said, however, that this tendency has not gone far.

The deification of Dungi and Bur-Sin left its traces in the proper names of the period. Thus we have  $Ur^{-d}Bur^{-d}En^{-zu}$ , "Servant of Bur-Sin" and  $^dDun^{-gi-i\check{s}i^{-d}}Umun^{-gal}$ , "The divine Dungi is the mountain of the great divine lord".

The names compounded with Dungi are especially laudatory. For example, one man bore the name <sup>d</sup>Nin-gir-su-a-taly-<sup>d</sup>Dun-gi, "Ningirsu is the helper of the god Dungi"; another, the name <sup>d</sup>Dun-gi-uru-mu, "The god Dungi is my city"<sup>3</sup> — a sentiment not unlike that of Ps. 90<sup>1</sup>: "Lord, thou hast been our dwelling place".

Another bore the name <sup>d</sup>Dun-gi-nitah-gin, "The god Dungi increases men", or "weighs men". Still another was called Tab-<sup>d</sup>Dun-gi-<sup>d</sup>Nannar, "The god Dungi is equal to Nannar".

<sup>1</sup> Which might also be translated "Ininni is life".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Na is here taken in the sense of elû (cf. Barton, Origin of Babylonian Writing, no. 71<sup>5</sup>). It might be taken as the suffix (no. 71<sup>12</sup>): the name would then become "Utu is his city". In that case these names would be of the type of <sup>a</sup>Dun-gi-uru-mu, mentioned below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Perhaps the mu here means "be high" (Barton, op. cit. no, 62 <sup>19</sup>), in which case this name would belong to the class of dUtu-uru-na.

One pay roll (HLC, 10; cf. II, 53) contains no less than ten men, into whose names Dungi as a god entered as an element. Four of these were sons of a certain Ba-ba-a. They were Gàl-dDun-qi, "Man of Dungi"; dDun-qi-he-qàl, "The god Dungi is a great blessing"; <sup>d</sup>Dun-qi-ra-kalam-ma, "the people are for the god Dungi"; and dDun-qi-kalam-ma-hi-li-bi, "The god Dungi — the people are his delight". Three others, the name of whose father is lost, were <sup>d</sup>Dun-qi-a-du-kalam-ma, "The god Dungi is the prince of the people"; <sup>a</sup>Dun-gi-à-nitah, "The god Dungi is the reward of men"; aDun-gi-ki-har-saq, "The god Dungi is like a mountain", or "inhabits a mountain". A certain A-tu mentioned in the same tablet named his son KadDun-qi-ib-ta-ê, "The word of the god Dungi goes forth from him"; while another named Lugal-gal-ušum named his two sons, Ama-dDun-qi-e-dUr-ru, "The mother of the god Dungi is the goddess Urru"; and dDun-qi-u-nam-ti, "The god Dungi is the food of life". Could laudation of a living monarch go further? It is a rare collection of sychophantic praise to be collected in one pay roll! One wonders whether this group of men were especially favored by the king.

There is one name which is peculiar. It is Šag-gar-zu-erim, "In the midst of thy food is a slave". It is probably addressed to a god, and indicates that the bearer is among the devotees of the deity from whose bounty he lives. Either the parent who gave this name had a sense of humor or he was a literalist as utterly lacking humor as some of the Puritans who gave their children names consisting of long sentences.

One name is puzzling. I have read it *Išib-ur-sal*, "The priest is a man-woman". *Ur* may have the meaning "dog" = "Sodomite" as in Deut. 23, 19, in which case the name would mean "The priest is a female dog", or "is a bitch"! The term *ur-sal* is probably of similar significance to the *sal-zikru* of the code of Hammurapi, which occurs in §§ 178, 180, etc., and designates a class of women devoted to a god.

Twelve years ago the writer claimed on the ground of the character of the deities and the mythology that the substratum of the civilization of southern Babylonia was Semitic.<sup>1</sup> Later Eduard Meyer<sup>2</sup> adduced more convincing proof of this

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Semitic Origins, 1902, 195 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Sumerier und Semiten in Babylonien, 1906.

from the evidence of the art, showing that the gods of the Sumerians in southern Babylonia were fashioned after the models of Semitic culture and that therefore the Semites must have been first in the country. The evidence of these proper names adds another bit of proof which tends to establish the same position. It is hardly possible that so many names should correspond to Semitic models - models which are found all over the Semitic area - had not the Semites been in Babylonia first. If the gods worshipped there by the Sumerians were Semitic, and the Semites formed the bulk of the population, this phenomenon is explicable, but on any other hypothesis it is very difficult to explain.